PSY 201: Statistics in Psychology Lecture 27 Hypothesis testing for dependent sample means *Within is better than between.*

Greg Francis

Purdue University

Fall 2023

(3)

DEPENDENT SAMPLES

• two samples of data are dependent when each score in one sample is paired with a specific score in the other sample

e.g

- testing the same set of subjects before and after treatment
- matched subjects in two groups (match along IQ before treatment and test mathematics)

CORRELATED DATA

- when samples are dependent, the scores across samples may be correlated
- suggests that you can (partly) predict one from other
- removes some of the randomness from the samples
- generally a good thing (more control over variables)
- but requires slightly different analysis

VARIABLE

• the variable of interest for dependent groups is the difference scores

$$d_i = X_{1i} - X_{2i}$$

where

- the *i*th scores in each group are matched (same subject)
- ► X_{1i} is the *i*th score in the first group
- ► X_{2i} is the *i*th score in the second group
- note that for dependent groups $n_1 = n_2 = n$, so we can calculate n difference scores

HYPOTHESIS

• we can calculate the mean of the difference scores for the sample

$$\overline{d} = \frac{\Sigma d_i}{n}$$

which is the same as

$$\overline{d} = \overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2$$

 we would like to know if the mean of difference scores for the population (μ₁ – μ₂) is different from zero

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1-\mu_2
eq 0$$

• This is actually the same as a one-sample t test!

STANDARD ERROR

we estimate the standard error with

$$s_{\overline{d}} = \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

• where s_d is the standard deviation of the difference scores

$$s_d = \sqrt{rac{\Sigma \left(d_i - \overline{d}\right)^2}{n-1}}$$

or in raw score form

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d_i^2 - \left(\sum d_i\right)^2 / n}{n-1}}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

TEST STATISTIC

• the test statistic is the same in form as all those before

 $\mathsf{Test \ statistic} = \frac{\mathsf{Statistic} - \mathsf{Parameter}}{\mathsf{Standard \ Error}}$

• for our specific situation it is

$$t = rac{\overline{d} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{s_{\overline{d}}}$$

which is used to compute a *p*-value in a *t*-distribution with *n*−1 degrees of freedom

(4) (E) (E)

EXAMPLE

- do your thoughts control your autonomic processes?
- relax and take your pulse for 30 seconds
 - write the number down (X₁)
- picture yourself running and then take your pulse for 30 seconds
 - write the number down (X_2)
- we want to know if the mean difference across the two measurements (samples) is different from zero

EXAMPLE

- the measurements are dependent because if you tend to have a high pulse rate, it will be high for both measurements
- but we are interested in the **difference**, so the overall rate is unimportant
- calculate the difference of your pulse rates

$$d_i = X_{1i} - X_{2i}$$

HYPOTHESIS

• (1) our null hypothesis is that there is no effect of imagination on pulse rate

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

• the alternative hypothesis is that there is an effect

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$$

- note, this is a directional hypothesis because we suspect that thinking about exercise should increase heart rate
- we will use a level of significance of $\alpha = 0.05$

DATA

- take a sample of pulse rate differences from ten people
- with your sampled data calculate the sample mean

$$\overline{d} = \frac{\sum d_i}{10}$$

• and the sample standard deviation (you can use the on-line calculator)

$$s_d = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma d_i^2 - \left(\Sigma d_i\right)^2 / 10}{9}}$$

• and the estimate of the standard error

$$s_{\overline{d}} = \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{10}}$$

< 注入 < 注入

TEST STATISTIC

• (2) now calculate the test statistic as

$$t=rac{\overline{d}-(\mu_1-\mu_2)}{s_{\overline{d}}}$$

• since we assume $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$ this is

$$t = \frac{\overline{d}}{s_{\overline{d}}}$$

▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶

p VALUE

- (3) You can compute the corresponding *p*-value with the *t*-Distribution Calculator for a one-tailed test
- with your sample of 10 people you have

$$df = n - 1 = 9$$

if

$$p < \alpha = 0.05$$

• you reject H_0

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

DECISION

- (4) if you reject H₀ that means there is evidence that imagination of exercise **does** affect heart rate
- if you do not reject H₀ that means there is no evidence that imagination of exercise affects heart rate
- if you reject, that means that if $\mu_1 \mu_2 = 0$, then the probability of the observed (or a more extreme) sample mean \overline{d} value is less than 0.05

SIGNIFICANCE VS. IMPORTANCE

- if you failed to reject H₀, it may have been because you had too small a sample, n,
- or may have been because there was no real difference
- in principle, it is hard to believe that imagined running has **no effect at all** on pulse rate
 - Surely the brain uses energy differently during imagined running compared to not
- the effect might be very small, so small that our experiment cannot find it

SIGNIFICANCE VS. IMPORTANCE

- on the other hand
- probably everyone had a sample difference \overline{d} that was non-zero
- but some people probably did not reject H_0
- we cannot just look at numbers like \overline{d} and take them at face value
- the statistical procedures keep us from rushing to conclusions that are unwarranted

POWER

- The computation of power is very similar (actually, identical) to the one-sample *t*-test situation
- Consider the STATLAB Horizontal-Vertical illusion. Across the class, we have data from 26 students that reports the mean (for each student) matching length for a horizontal and a vertical line.



Trials to go: 29

POWER

• Suppose you want to test for a difference between matching lengths for a horizontal and vertical line.

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

- How many subjects should you use to have 90% power?
- We can use the STATLAB data to estimate power and then compute an appropriate sample size
- From the STATLAB data we find:

$$\overline{X}_1 - \overline{X}_2 = 99.3213 - 105.6313 = -6.31$$

 $s_d = 4.655993$

▲ 国 ▶ | ▲ 国 ▶

ONLINE CALCULATOR



≣ ► ≡ ∽ ۹.0 Fall 2023 19/1

A D N A B N A B N A B N

ONLINE CALCULATOR

• You get exactly the same numbers using the one-sample calculator:

Specify the population characteristics: $H_0: \mu_0 = 0$ $H_a: \mu_a = -6.31$ $\sigma = -4.8560$ Or enter a standardized effect size $\frac{\mu_a - \mu_0}{\sigma} = \delta = -1.355240$ Specify the properties of the test: Type of test Two-tails **S** Type I error rate, $\alpha = 0.05$ Power **S** Sample size, n = 8Calculate minimum sample size

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

ONLINE CALCULATOR

Instead of using s_d (the standard deviation of the differences), you could use the standard deviation of each group and the correlation between scores:

Specify the population characteristics:



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

INDEPENDENT TEST

- Suppose you wanted to do the experiment with different subjects assigned to different line orientations. How many subjects do you need?
- Independent Means Power Calculator

Specify the population characteristics: $H_{0}: \mu_{1} - \mu_{2} = 0$ $H_{a}: \mu_{a1} - \mu_{a2} = 6.31$ $\sigma_{1} = 3.5502$ $\sigma_{2} = 6.4328$ Or enter a standardized effect size $\frac{(\mu_{a1} - \mu_{a2}) - (\mu_{1} - \mu_{2})}{\sigma} = \delta = NA$ Specify the properties of the test: Type of test: Type I error rate, $\alpha = 0.05$ Power = 0.9 Calculate minimum sample size Sample size for group 1, $n_{1} = 16$ Sample size for group 2, $n_{2} = 16$

• 4 times as many subjects for an independent means experiment!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

WITHIN vs BETWEEN

- A within subjects (dependent test) design is usually more powerful than a between subjects (independent test)
- This is because you are able to remove one source of variability from the standard error
 - The variability in overall score values
- Standard error reflects variability between conditions
- A between subjects calculation of variability includes variability between conditions and variability across subjects (more variability!)

CONCLUSIONS

- dependent samples
- very important for lots of interesting tests
- more powerful than independent tests

★ ∃ ►

NEXT TIME

- two-sample case for independent proportions
- hypothesis testing
- confidence interval
- o power

What is a "margin of error"?

(3)