PSY 201: Statistics in Psychology Lecture 32 Analysis of Variance Measure twice, cut once.

Greg Francis

Purdue University

Fall 2023

(4) (E) (E)

ANOVA VARIABLES

- independent variables: variable that forms groupings
- one-way ANOVA: one independent variable
- levels: number of groups, number of populations
- e.g. Method of teaching is an independent variable
- you may teach in 17 different ways (levels) and have 17 different sample groups with sample means

$$\overline{X}_1, \overline{X}_2, \dots, \overline{X}_{16}, \overline{X}_{17},$$

 so that for your hypothesis test you would want to test whether all the population means of the different levels are the same

ANOVA VARIABLES

• we need additional subscripts to keep track of variables

X_{ik}

• is the score for the *i*th subject in the *k*th level (group)

n_k

• is the number of scores in the kth level

$$\sum_{i} X_{ik}$$

• is the sum of scores in the *k*th level



• is the sum of all scores

Greg Francis (Purdue University)

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

HYPOTHESES

• for one-way ANOVA the hypotheses are

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_K$$

 $H_a: \mu_i \neq \mu_k$ for some i, k

- the null hypothesis is that all population means are the same
- the alternative hypothesis is that at least one mean is different from another

INTUITION

- the basic approach of ANOVA is to make two calculations of variance
 - We can calculate variance of each group separately and combine them to estimate the variance of all scores. (within variance, s_W^2)
 - **2** We can also calculate the variance among all the group means, relative to a grand mean. (between variance, s_B^2)
- these estimates will be the same if H_0 is true!
- these estimates will be different if H_0 is not true!

INTUITION

• we compare the estimates using the F ratio

$$F=rac{s_B^2}{s_W^2}$$

- f $F \approx 1$, do not reject H_0
- if F > 1, reject H_0
- how big depends on the sample sizes, significance, ...

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃

SCORES

- what contributes to a particular score?
- assume a linear model

$$X_{ik} = \mu + \alpha_k + e_{ik}$$

- X_{ik} is the *i*th score in the *k*th group
- $\blacktriangleright~\mu$ is the grand mean for the population, across all groups
- $\alpha_k = \mu_k \mu$ is the effect of belonging to group k
- *e_{ik}* is random error associated with the score
- e_{ik} changes because of random sampling (normally distributed, mean of zero, σ^2)

SUM OF SQUARES

- we want to estimate σ^2 (variance of population if H_0 is true)
- need sum of squares

$$\Sigma_k \Sigma_i (X_{ik} - \overline{X})^2$$

consider one score

$$(X_{ik}-\overline{X})=(X_{ik}-\overline{X}_k)+(\overline{X}_k-\overline{X})$$

SO

$$(X_{ik}-\overline{X})^2 = [(X_{ik}-\overline{X}_k) + (\overline{X}_k - \overline{X})]^2$$

or

$$(X_{ik}-\overline{X})^2 = (X_{ik}-\overline{X}_k)^2 + 2(\overline{X}_k-\overline{X})(X_{ik}-\overline{X}_k) + (\overline{X}_k-\overline{X})^2$$

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

SUM OF SQUARES

• if we sum across all subjects in category k

n .

$$\sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (X_{ik} - \overline{X})^{2} = \sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (X_{ik} - \overline{X}_{k})^{2} + 2(\overline{X}_{k} - \overline{X}) \sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (X_{ik} - \overline{X}_{k}) + \sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (\overline{X}_{k} - \overline{X})^{2}$$

• since deviations from a mean equal zero, this reduces to

$$\sum_{i}^{n_k} (X_{ik} - \overline{X})^2 = \sum_{i}^{n_k} (X_{ik} - \overline{X}_k)^2 + \sum_{i}^{n_k} (\overline{X}_k - \overline{X})^2$$

in addition,

$$\sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (\overline{X}_{k} - \overline{X})^{2} = n_{k} (\overline{X}_{k} - \overline{X})^{2}$$

so we get

$$\sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (X_{ik} - \overline{X})^{2} = \sum_{i}^{n_{k}} (X_{ik} - \overline{X}_{k})^{2} + n_{k} (\overline{X}_{k} - \overline{X})^{2}$$

Greg Francis (Purdue University)

SUM OF SQUARES

• now, we sum across the k groups to get the total sum of squares

$$\sum_{k}\sum_{i}(X_{ik}-\overline{X})^{2}=\sum_{k}\left(\sum_{i}(X_{ik}-\overline{X}_{k})^{2}+n_{k}(\overline{X}_{k}-\overline{X})^{2}\right)$$

which becomes

$$\sum_{k}\sum_{i}(X_{ik}-\overline{X})^{2}=\sum_{k}\sum_{i}^{n_{k}}(X_{ik}-\overline{X}_{k})^{2}+\sum_{k}n_{k}(\overline{X}_{k}-\overline{X})^{2}$$

or

$$SS_T = SS_W + SS_B$$

- where
 - SS_T is the total sum of squares.
 - SS_W is the within sum of squares. Deviation of scores from the group mean.
 - ► *SS_B* is the between sum of squares. Deviation of group means from the grand mean.

Greg Francis (Purdue University)

Fall 2023 10 / 1

WITHIN DEVIATIONS

$$SS_W = \sum_k \sum_i^{n_k} (X_{ik} - \overline{X}_k)^2$$

• what causes this to be greater than zero?

since

$$X_{ik} = \mu + \alpha_k + e_{ik}$$

- $\mu + \alpha_k$ is fixed as *i* varies
- thus, deviations from \overline{X}_k must be due to the e_{ik} term (random error)

ESTIMATE OF σ^2

 within each group, deviations from the mean are due to the error terms e_{ik}, so

$$s_k^2 = rac{\sum_i (X_{ik} - \overline{X}_k)^2}{n_k - 1} o \sigma^2$$

 to get a better estimate, pool across all groups (just like for two-sample t-test)

$$\frac{SS_W}{N-K} = MS_W \to \sigma^2$$

- here MS_W stands for mean squares within
- N K is the degrees of freedom

A B K A B K

BETWEEN DEVIATIONS

$$SS_B = \sum_k n_k (\overline{X}_k - \overline{X})^2$$

• what causes this to be greater than zero?

since

$$X_{ik} = \mu + \alpha_k + e_{ik}$$

• the mean of group k is

$$\overline{X}_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i} X_{ik}}{n_{k}} = \mu + \alpha_{k} + \frac{\sum_{i} e_{ik}}{n_{k}}$$

- as k changes, μ stays the same
- so any deviations from \overline{X} are due to changes in α_k (changes between groups) or to changes in $\frac{\sum_i e_{ik}}{n_k}$ (random error)

ESTIMATE OF σ^2

- if H₀ is true, then all α_k = 0 and any deviations must be due only to the random error terms (Σ_i e_{ik}/n_k)
- $\bullet\,$ so we can again estimate σ^2 as

$$MS_B = \frac{SS_B}{K-1} = \frac{\sum_k n_k (\overline{X}_k - \overline{X})^2}{K-1} \to \sigma^2$$

- here K 1 is degrees of freedom
- on the other hand, if H_0 is not true, then MS_B includes deviations due to α_k , so

$$MS_B > \sigma^2$$

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

F statistic

- \bullet so, we do not know what σ^2 is, but we have two estimates
 - MS_W : always estimates σ^2
 - MS_B : estimates σ^2 if H_0 is true. Larger than σ^2 if H_0 is false.
- compare the estimates by computing

$$F = \frac{MS_B}{MS_W}$$

• if H_0 is true, should get F = 1, if H_0 is not true, should get F > 1

F critical

- as always for inferential statistics, we need to know if F is significantly greater than 1.0
- depends on two degrees of freedom
- df numerator = K 1
- df denominator = N K
- look up *p*-value using the online *F*-distribution calculator

TESTING

4 STEPS

- State the hypothesis and set the criterion: H₀ : µ₁ = µ₂ = ... = µ_K, H_a : µ_i ≠ µ_j for some i, j.
- **2** Compute the test statistic $F = MS_B/MS_W$.
- Sompute the *p*-value. Need to find the degrees of freedom.
- Make a decision.

EXAMPLE

- A college professor wants to determine the best way to present an important lecture topic to his class.
- He decides to do an experiment to evaluate three options. He solicits 27 volunteers from his class and randomly assigns 9 to each of three conditions.
- In condition 1, he lectures to the students.
- In condition 2, he lectures plus assigns supplementary reading.
- In condition 3, the students see a film on the topic plus receive the same supplementary reading as the students in condition 2.
- The students are subsequently tested on the material. The following scores (percentage correct) were obtained.

A B A A B A

EXAMPLE

| Lecture | Lecture + Reading | Film + Reading |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Condition 1 | Condition 2 | Condition 3 |
| 92 | 86 | 81 |
| 86 | 93 | 80 |
| 87 | 97 | 72 |
| 76 | 81 | 82 |
| 80 | 94 | 83 |
| 87 | 89 | 89 |
| 92 | 98 | 76 |
| 83 | 90 | 88 |
| 84 | 91 | 83 |

• No one does the calculations by hand. Always use a computer.

A B A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• for one-way ANOVA the hypotheses are

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$

 $H_a: \mu_i \neq \mu_k$ for some *i*, *k*

Set α = 0.05

(2) TEST STATISTIC

- Use the on-line calculator
- We have to format the data properly for the calculator
- One score to each line
- Indicate the level (no spaces) and then the score

| 92 |
|----|
| 86 |
| |
| 86 |
| 93 |
| |
| 81 |
| 80 |
| |

Order does not matter

(2) TEST STATISTIC

• Data could look like this when pasted into the calculator

| Lecture | 92 | | |
|-----------|--------|----|----|
| Lecture | 86 | | |
| Lecture | 87 | | |
| Lecture | 76 | | |
| Lecture | 80 | | |
| Lecture | 87 | | |
| Lecture | 92 | | |
| Lecture | 83 | | |
| Lecture | 84 | | |
| LectureRe | eading | 86 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 93 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 97 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 81 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 94 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 89 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 98 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 90 | |
| LectureRe | eading | 91 | |
| FilmReadi | ing 81 | | |
| FilmReadi | ing 80 | | 1. |

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• We read out the results of the analysis in the ANOVA summary table

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p-value |
|---------|----|-----------|----------|--------|---------|
| Between | 2 | 408.0741 | 204.0370 | 7.2894 | 0.00336 |
| Within | 24 | 671.7778 | 27.9907 | | |
| Total | 26 | 1079.8519 | | | |

Iots of information

(3)

(2) TEST STATISTIC

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p-value |
|---------|----|-----------|----------|--------|---------|
| Between | 2 | 408.0741 | 204.0370 | 7.2894 | 0.00336 |
| Within | 24 | 671.7778 | 27.9907 | | |
| Total | 26 | 1079.8519 | | | |

• We can double check things

$$F = \frac{MS_B}{MS_W} = \frac{204.0370}{27.9907} = 7.2894$$
$$MS_B = \frac{SS_B}{K - 1} = \frac{408.0741}{3 - 1} = 204.0370$$
$$MS_W = \frac{SS_W}{N - K} = \frac{671.7778}{27 - 3} = 27.9907$$

(3) p VALUE

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p-value |
|---------|----|-----------|----------|--------|---------|
| Between | 2 | 408.0741 | 204.0370 | 7.2894 | 0.00336 |
| Within | 24 | 671.7778 | 27.9907 | | |
| Total | 26 | 1079.8519 | | | |

• between degrees of freedom (numerator)

$$df = K - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$$

• within degrees of freedom (denominator)

$$df = N - K = 27 - 3 = 24$$

• Total degrees of freedom

$$df = N - 1 = 27 - 1 = 26$$

(3) p VALUE

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p-value |
|---------|----|-----------|----------|--------|---------|
| Between | 2 | 408.0741 | 204.0370 | 7.2894 | 0.00336 |
| Within | 24 | 671.7778 | 27.9907 | | |
| Total | 26 | 1079.8519 | | | |

• Check the *p*-value using the *F* distribution calculator



• Note, we just compute *p* from one tail, but this is equivalent to a two-tailed *t*-test.

Greg Francis (Purdue University)

(4) DECISION

since

$$p = 0.00336 < .05 = \alpha$$

• we reject H_0 . The methods of presentation are not equally effective.

- Note, does not tell us which pair of means are different!
- Look at means

| Condition | Mean | Standard deviation | Sample size |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Lecture | 85.2222222222223 | 5.214829282387329 | 9 |
| LectureReading | 91 | 5.338539126015656 | 9 |
| FilmReading | 81.55555555555556 | 5.317685377847901 | 9 |

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃

GENERALITY

- The great thing about ANOVA is that these basic steps stay the same even if you have many more means to be compared
- I happen to have data from 8 different classes that all completed an experiment where subjects responded as quickly as possible whether a set of letters formed a word or not
- The summary is the same format as above

GENERALITY

| Source | df | SS | MS | F | p-value |
|---------|-----|---------------|-------------|--------|---------|
| Between | 7 | 2324584.6485 | 332083.5212 | 6.6500 | 0.00000 |
| Within | 407 | 20324589.8142 | 49937.5671 | | |
| Total | 414 | 22649174.4627 | | | |

| Condition | Mean | Standard deviation | Sample size |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Francis200F15 | 788.3333333333333 | 244.2585052255086 | 81 |
| Francis200S16 | 756.0007352941174 | 204.17983832898088 | 68 |
| Francis200F16 | 750.0464601769914 | 218.19667178177372 | 113 |
| Francis200F17 | 756.6531914893621 | 214.33283856802967 | 94 |
| FUSfall2018 | 766.1649999999998 | 172.00442964925605 | 30 |
| Psy200Spring15 | 1167.3535714285715 | 360.9423454196428 | 14 |
| FS16PSY200 | 776.26 | 224.8173218909571 | 10 |
| PSY2008HKIED | 849.660000000002 | 191.92566073873397 | 5 |

• it would be the same format with 8000 classes!

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

CONCLUSIONS

- testing multiple means
- two estimates of population variance
- one estimate always estimates variance
- other estimate is true only if H_0 is true
- lets us test H_0

NEXT TIME

- interpreting ANOVA
- contrasts
- more multiple testing

Some thing versus which thing.